

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,314	EGILSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Apu M Mofiz	2165	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Mary Lou Wakimura.

(3) _____.

(2) Apu M Mofiz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1,8,15 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add the phrase "computer implemented" in the preamble of all the independent claims to overcome possible 101 related issue.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)